



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Attn: Robert C. Curfiss
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 61389
Houston, TX 77208-1389

COPY MAILED

JAN 23 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
David A. Monroe	:	
Application No. 09/866,984	:	ON PETITION
Filed: May 29, 2001	:	
Attorney Docket No. 081829.000049	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 6, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to respond to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed January 14, 2005.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$750; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

The Office also acknowledges receipt of \$65 for the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer. 37 CFR 1.137(d) requires a terminal disclaimer dedicating to the public a terminal part of the term of any patent granted on the above-identified application or any patent granted on any continuing application that contains a specific reference under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) to the above-identified application. Since the application is a utility or plant application filed after June 8, 1995, a terminal disclaimer is not required. Accordingly, the fee of \$65 will be refunded to petitioner's credit card.


It is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as

constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must so notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he/she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he/she acts. However, if petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. Nevertheless, all future correspondence regarding this application file will be directed solely to the address of record until otherwise instructed.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2613, for review of the amendment filed with the instant petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.



Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: Raffi Gostanian, Jr.
1103 Twin Creeks
Allen, TX 75013